

## SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

## **COUNSEL SLIP/ ENDORSEMENT FORM**

COURT FILE NO.: CV	-25-00744650-00CL	DATE:	October 16, 2025
			NO. ON LIST:1
TITLE OF PROCEEDING: ROYA	AL BANK OF CANADA v. K	APILA TRAI	NSPORT INC. et al.
BEFORE: JUST	ICE W.D. BLACK		
PARTICIPANT INFORMATION			
For Plaintiff, Applicant, Moving	Party, Crown:		
Name of Person Appearing	Name of	Party	Contact Info
Calvin Horsten	Counsel to The App Royal Bank of Cana		chorsten@airdberlis.com
For Defendant, Respondent, Re	sponding Party, Defence	<u> </u>	
For Defendant, Respondent, Respondent, Respondent, Respondent, Respondent, Respondent, Respondent, Respondent	sponding Party, Defence		Contact Info
		<b>Party</b> n Western	Contact Info cmckee@lerners.ca
Name of Person Appearing Chelsea McKee	Name of Counsel to Canadia	<b>Party</b> n Western	
Name of Person Appearing Chelsea McKee	Name of Counsel to Canadia	Party n Western	
Name of Person Appearing Chelsea McKee For Other, Self-Represented:	Name of Counsel to Canadia Bank/National Bank	Party n Western	cmckee@lerners.ca
Name of Person Appearing Chelsea McKee For Other, Self-Represented:	Name of Counsel to Canadia Bank/National Bank	Party n Western	cmckee@lerners.ca
Chelsea McKee  For Other, Self-Represented:	Name of Counsel to Canadia Bank/National Bank	Party n Western	cmckee@lerners.ca

## **ENDORSEMENT OF JUSTICE W.D. BLACK:**

[1] I heard a scheduling appointment in this matter on September 19, 2025.

- [2] At that time, an agent for the debtors' counsel advised that debtors' counsel was away on vacation and was requesting an adjournment of RBC's application until some time after the debtors' counsel's returned from vacation on October 19.
- [3] For the reasons set out in my endorsement of that day, I was not prepared to grant the adjournment sought, and I ordered the timetable proposed by RBC, which, among other milestones, required the debtors to deliver responding materials (in response to RBC's application to appoint a receiver and for related relief) by October 6, 2025.
- [4] The respondents did not deliver any material by October 6, 2025, and did not attend or file anything for the case conference today.
- [5] In addition, counsel for RBC advised that debtors' erstwhile counsel (JSM Law), had advised that JSM Law would be seeking to be removed as counsel of record for the debtors. To that end, apparently just on the eve of today's conference, JSM Law served a motion record to be removed, asking that RBC's application not proceed pending the outcome of the removal motion scheduled for January of 2026 in another court.
- [6] I am not prepared to delay this matter until January of 2026, or indeed beyond today's date.
- [7] In the absence of responding materials and given the clear and uncontroverted evidence from RBC that the debts are owed; that there is valid security in place in respect of those debts; and that RBC has given proper notice under the BIA of its intention to enforce its debt, I see no utility in delaying the matter further.
- [8] I find that it is just and convenient for the order sought by RBC to issue today.
- [9] Accordingly, I have signed and attach that order.

W.D. BLACK J.

**DATE: October 16, 2025**