Court File No. CV-25-00001168-0000

ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

BETWEEN:

ROYAL BANK OF CANADA

Applicant

- and -

SK GLOBAL TRADERS LIMITED

Respondent

APPLICATION UNDER SUBSECTION 243(1) OF THE BANKRUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. B-3, AS AMENDED AND SECTION 101 OF THE COURTS OF JUSTICE ACT, R.S.O. 1990, c. C.43, AS AMENDED

FACTUM OF THE APPLICANT, ROYAL BANK OF CANADA (returnable April 3, 2025)

March 25, 2025

AIRD & BERLIS LLP

Barristers and Solicitors Brookfield Place 181 Bay Street, Suite 1800 Toronto, Ontario M5J 2T9

Sanjeev P.R. Mitra (LSO # 37934U) Tel: (416) 865-3085 Email: smitra@airdberlis.com

Jeremy Nemers (LSO # 66410Q) Tel : (416) 865-7724 E-mail: jnemers@airdberlis.com

Cristian Delfino (LSO # 87202N) Tel : (416) 865-7748 E-mail: <u>cdelfino@airdberlis.com</u>

Lawyers for Royal Bank of Canada

PART I – NATURE OF THE APPLICATION

1. The Applicant, Royal Bank of Canada ("**RBC**"), makes an application for an Order (the "**Receivership Order**"), in substance, appointing msi Spergel inc. ("**Spergel**") as receiver (in such capacity, the "**Receiver**"), without security, of all the assets, properties and undertakings of SK Global Traders Limited (the "**Debtor**") acquired for, or used in relation to a business carried on by the Debtor, and all proceeds thereof (collectively, the "**Property**").

PART II – SUMMARY OF FACTS

2. The Debtor is a privately-owned Ontario corporation with its registered office and mailing address located at 1310 Steeles Avenue East, Unit 1, Brampton, Ontario (the "**Premises**"). The Debtor's sole registered director is Rohit Narang ("**Narang**").

Affidavit of Michael Foster sworn February 28, 2025 [Foster Affidavit] at <u>para.</u> <u>3</u>, Tab 4 of RBC's Application Record dated March 7, 2025.

3. The Debtor describes itself as a packaging company, supplying its customers with custom paper food packaging, restaurant supplies, wedding favours and other related products.

Foster Affidavit, supra at para. 4.

4. The Debtor is indebted to RBC in connection with certain credit facilities (the "Credit Facilities") made available by RBC to the Debtor pursuant to and under the terms of the credit agreement between RBC and the Debtor dated August 2, 2022 and amended and restated (as applicable) by agreements dated January 26, 2023 and July 28, 2023 (collectively, the "Primary Credit Agreement") and a master lease agreement dated February 1, 2023 and the lease schedules thereunder (collectively, the "Master Lease Agreement" and, together with the Primary Credit Agreement, the "Credit Agreements").

Foster Affidavit, supra at para. 5.

5. As security for its obligations to RBC, the Debtor granted a general security agreement in favour of RBC dated August 2, 2022 (the "GSA"), registration in respect of which was duly made pursuant to the *Personal Property Security Act* (Ontario) (the "**PPSA**").

Foster Affidavit, supra at para. 6.

6. Each of Narang, Kulwant Singh and Sarthak Kapila (collectively, the "Guarantors") personally guaranteed the Debtor's obligations to RBC under the Credit Agreements in the principal amount of \$2,272,000, pursuant to a written guarantee and postponement of claim agreement dated July 29, 2023.

Foster Affidavit, supra at para. 7.

7. RBC has a PPSA registration against the Debtor in respect of all collateral classifications other than consumer goods (the "General RBC Registration"). In addition to the General RBC Registration, the Debtor's certified PPSA search results reflect 13 registrations by multiple registrants that appear to pertain to certain specific collateral and/or are registered after the General RBC registration. These other registrants have all been served with this application.

Foster Affidavit, supra at paras. 8-9.

8. All the Credit Facilities except for the leasing facilities are repayable on demand. There have also been defaults under the Credit Agreements, including, without limitation, monthly payment arrears in respect of the leasing facilities that go back to August of 2024 and the Debtor's failure to meet its reporting requirements under the Credit Agreements (including, without limitation, failing to provide aged lists of accounts receivable and accounts payable).

Foster Affidavit, supra at para. 10.

9. Without adequate visibility into the Debtor's business operations, and in light of the payment arrears, RBC proceeded in January 2025 to make formal written demand on the Debtor and the Guarantors for payment of the amounts owed to RBC under the Credit Agreements and the Guarantee, as applicable (the "**Demand Letters**"). Accompanying the Demand Letter to the Debtor on January 14, 2025 was a notice of intention to enforce security (the "**BIA Notice**") pursuant to subsection 244(1) of the *Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act* (Canada) (the "**BIA**").

Foster Affidavit, supra at para. 11.

10. As set out in the Demand Letters, a total of \$2,503,483.47 for principal and interest (exclusive of legal fees, disbursements and accruing interest) was owing by the Debtor to RBC as of January 13, 2025 in respect of the Credit Facilities under the Credit Agreements (the "**Demanded Indebtedness**").

Foster Affidavit, supra at para. 12.

11. The Demanded Indebtedness has still not been repaid, in full or in part.

Foster Affidavit, supra at para. 13.

12. At this stage, RBC believes that the only prudent and reasonable path forward is to take any and all steps necessary to protect the Property by having a receiver appointed. It is within RBC's rights under its security to do so.

Foster Affidavit, supra at para. 14.

13. In anticipation of its potential mandate, the proposed receiver attended at the Premises in February 2025 to try and determine whether the Debtor was/is still operating, and took photographs of:

- (a) a sign on the front door stating: "SK Global Traders Limited Notice. We have shifted our office, please WhatsApp at (647) 488-8483 and someone from the office will contact you back" (the "Office Shifting Sign"); and
- (b) a truck departing the loading bay and a cargo van parked outside the Premises, both displaying the Debtor's decal.

Foster Affidavit, *supra* at paras. 15-16.

14. RBC has also commenced a separate claim against each of the Guarantors (the "**Claim**") for payment of the amounts owing under the Guarantee. On March 7, 2025, the law firm of RSG Law confirmed that: (i) it had been retained and would accept service on behalf of all three Guarantors in connection with the Claim (which service was accepted on March 12, 2025); but (ii) it was not retained in connection with the receivership application. As a result, the Debtor was served with the receivership application in accordance with the *Rules* on March 10, 2025.

Foster Affidavit, *supra* at para. 18.

Endorsed Backpage of Claim dated March 12, 2025.

Affidavit of Service of Saikat Das sworn March 10, 2025.

PART III – ISSUE

15. The issue to be determined on this application is whether it is just and convenient for this Court to appoint Spergel as receiver over the Property.

PART IV – LAW AND ARGUMENT

The Test for Appointing a Receiver

16. RBC seeks the appointment of a receiver pursuant to subsection 243(1) of the BIA and section 101 of the *Courts of Justice Act* (Ontario) (the "CJA"). Both statutes enable the Court to appoint a receiver and manager where such appointment is "*just or convenient*."

BIA, <u>s 243(1);</u> CJA, <u>s 101</u>.

17. In determining whether it is "*just or convenient*" to appoint a receiver under either the BIA or CJA, Ontario courts have applied the decision of The Honourable Mr. Justice Blair in *Freure Village*. In that case, His Honour confirmed that, in deciding whether the appointment of a receiver is just or convenient, the court "*must have regard to all of the circumstances but in particular the nature of the property and the rights and interests of all parties in relation thereto*," which includes the rights of the secured creditor under its security.

Bank of Nova Scotia v. Freure Village on Clair Creek (1996), 40 C.B.R. (3d) 274, [1996] O.J. No. 5088 at para. 10 (Gen. Div. [Comm. List]) [Freure Village] (CanLII: http://canlii.ca/t/1wbtz).

18. When the rights of the secured creditor under its security includes a specific right to appointment of a receiver (as in the present case), the burden on the applicant seeking the relief is relaxed. Indeed, The Honourable Mr. Chief Justice Morawetz held in *Elleway Acquisitions* that:

... while the appointment of a receiver is generally regarded as an extraordinary equitable remedy, courts do not regard the nature of the remedy as extraordinary or equitable where the relevant security document permits the appointment of a receiver. This is because the applicant is merely seeking to enforce a term of an agreement that was assented to by both parties.

Elleway Acquisitions Ltd. v. Cruise Professionals Ltd., 2013 ONSC 6866 at para. 27 [Elleway Acquisitions] (CanLII: <u>http://canlii.ca/t/g22q3</u>). 19. The Honourable Mr. Chief Justice Morawetz's holding in *Elleway Acquisitions* was recently further affirmed in *iSpan Systems* by The Honourable Mr. Justice Osborne:

Where the rights of the secured creditor include, pursuant to the terms of its security, the right to seek the appointment of a receiver, the burden on the applicant is lessened: while the appointment of a receiver is generally an extraordinary equitable remedy, the courts do not so regard the nature of the remedy where the relevant security permits the appointment and as a result, the applicant is merely seeking to enforce a term of an agreement already made by both parties [citations omitted].

iSpan Systems LP, 2023 ONSC 6912 at para. 31 [*iSpan Systems*] (CanLII: <u>https://canlii.ca/t/k0x62</u>).

20. It has been established that courts in Ontario have the jurisdiction to authorize a receiver to put a debtor company into bankruptcy and that it is not uncommon for a receiver to do so and act as the trustee. In the present case, RBC has requested in its application that the proposed receiver be authorized (but not obligated) to bankrupt the Debtor and act as bankruptcy trustee. The Debtor's principal (Narang) has elected not to respond to RBC's application, even though Narang has engaged counsel to defend the Guarantee Claim.

Royal Bank of Canada v. Casselman PHBC Ltd., 2017 ONSC 4107 at para. 20 (CanLII: <u>https://canlii.ca/t/h4m1p</u>).

Endorsed Backpage of Claim dated March 12, 2025.

It is Just and Convenient to Appoint the Receiver

21. RBC submits that the test for the appointment of a receiver is met. Pursuant to subsection 13(a) of the GSA, RBC is contractually entitled to have a receiver appointed over the Debtor upon default. Such default has occurred and the appointment of Spergel as receiver is not an extraordinary remedy; it is simply the result of enforcing a contractual term that was mutually assented to by the Debtor and RBC.

22. RBC wishes to take any and all steps necessary to enforce its security and realize on same and the appointment of Spergel as receiver is necessary for the protection of the Debtor's estate and the interests of RBC as a secured creditor. The Debtor has had ample time to repay the Demanded Indebtedness to RBC and engage with RBC's application, but has failed to do so.

Foster Affidavit, supra at para. 13.

23. At this stage, RBC believes that its only reasonable and prudent path forward is to take any and all steps necessary to protect the Property by having a receiver appointed, and it is within RBC's rights under the Security to do so.

Foster Affidavit, supra at para. 14.

24. Spergel is a licensed insolvency trustee and is familiar with the circumstances of the Debtor and its arrangements with RBC. Spergel has consented to act as the Receiver should the Court so appoint it.

Foster Affidavit, at paras. 21-22.

PART V – RELIEF REQUESTED

25. In light of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that this Court should grant the Receivership Order.

ALL OF WHICH IS RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 25th day of March, 2025.

Per: C. Auffra AIRD & BERLIS LLP

Barristers and Solicitors Brookfield Place 181 Bay Street, Suite 1800 Toronto, Ontario M5J 2T9

Sanjeev P.R. Mitra (LSO # 37934U) Email: <u>smitra@airdberlis.com</u>

Jeremy Nemers (LSO # 66410Q) E-mail: <u>jnemers@airdberlis.com</u>

Cristian Delfino (LSO # 87202N) Email: <u>cdelfino@airdberlis.com</u>

Lawyers for Royal Bank of Canada

SCHEDULE "A"

AUTHORITIES CITED

Jurisprudence

- <u>Bank of Nova Scotia v. Freure Village on Clair Creek</u> (1996), 40
 C.B.R. (3d) 274, [1996] O.J. No. 5088 (Gen. Div. [Comm. List]).
- 2. <u>Elleway Acquisitions Ltd. v. Cruise Professionals Ltd.</u>, 2013 ONSC 6866.
- 3. *iSpan Systems LP*, 2023 ONSC 6212.
- 4. <u>Royal Bank of Canada v. Casselman PHBC Ltd.</u>, 2017 ONSC 4107.

SCHEDULE "B"

TEXT OF STATUTES, REGULATIONS & BY-LAWS

Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, R.S.C. 1985, c. B-3, as amended, s. 243

Court may appoint receiver

243 (1) Subject to subsection (1.1), on application by a secured creditor, a court may appoint a receiver to do any or all of the following if it considers it to be just or convenient to do so:

(a) take possession of all or substantially all of the inventory, accounts receivable or other property of an insolvent person or bankrupt that was acquired for or used in relation to a business carried on by the insolvent person or bankrupt;

(b) exercise any control that the court considers advisable over that property and over the insolvent person's or bankrupt's business; or

(c) take any other action that the court considers advisable.

Restriction on appointment of receiver

(1.1) In the case of an insolvent person in respect of whose property a notice is to be sent under subsection 244(1), the court may not appoint a receiver under subsection (1) before the expiry of 10 days after the day on which the secured creditor sends the notice unless

- (a) the insolvent person consents to an earlier enforcement under subsection 244(2); or
- (b) the court considers it appropriate to appoint a receiver before then.

Definition of receiver

(2) Subject to subsections (3) and (4), in this Part, receiver means a person who

(a) is appointed under subsection (1); or

(b) is appointed to take or takes possession or control — of all or substantially all of the inventory, accounts receivable or other property of an insolvent person or bankrupt that was acquired for or used in relation to a business carried on by the insolvent person or bankrupt — under

(i) an agreement under which property becomes subject to a security (in this Part referred to as a "security agreement"), or

(ii) a court order made under another Act of Parliament, or an Act of a legislature of a province, that provides for or authorizes the appointment of a receiver or receiver-manager.

Definition of receiver — subsection 248(2)

(3) For the purposes of subsection 248(2), the definition receiver in subsection (2) is to be read without reference to paragraph (a) or subparagraph (b)(ii).

Trustee to be appointed

(4) Only a trustee may be appointed under subsection (1) or under an agreement or order referred to in paragraph (2)(b).

Place of filing

(5) The application is to be filed in a court having jurisdiction in the judicial district of the locality of the debtor.

Orders respecting fees and disbursements

(6) If a receiver is appointed under subsection (1), the court may make any order respecting the payment of fees and disbursements of the receiver that it considers proper, including one that gives the receiver a charge, ranking ahead of any or all of the secured creditors, over all or part of the property of the insolvent person or bankrupt in respect of the receiver's claim for fees or disbursements, but the court may not make the order unless it is satisfied that the secured creditors who would be materially affected by the order were given reasonable notice and an opportunity to make representations.

Meaning of disbursements

(7) In subsection (6), disbursements does not include payments made in the operation of a business of the insolvent person or bankrupt.

Courts of Justice Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. C-34, as amended, ss. 101 and 103

Injunctions and receivers

101 (1) In the Superior Court of Justice, an interlocutory injunction or mandatory order may be granted or a receiver or receiver and manager may be appointed by an interlocutory order, where it appears to a judge of the court to be just or convenient to do so.

Certificate of pending litigation

103 (1) The commencement of a proceeding in which an interest in land is in question is not notice of the proceeding to a person who is not a party until a certificate of pending litigation is issued by the court and the certificate is registered in the proper land registry office under subsection (2). R.S.O. 1990, c. C.43, s. 103 (1).

• • •

Order discharging certificate

(6) The court may make an order discharging a certificate,

(a) where the party at whose instance it was issued,

(i) claims a sum of money in place of or as an alternative to the interest in the land claimed,

(ii) does not have a reasonable claim to the interest in the land claimed, or

(iii) does not prosecute the proceeding with reasonable diligence;

(b) where the interests of the party at whose instance it was issued can be adequately protected by another form of security; or

(c) on any other ground that is considered just,

and the court may, in making the order, impose such terms as to the giving of security or otherwise as the court considers just. R.S.O. 1990, c. C.43, s. 103 (6).

- and - SK GLOBAL TRADERS LIMITED

Respondent

Applicant			
- pp			

Court File No. CV-25-00001168-0000

ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

Proceedings commenced at Brampton

FACTUM OF THE APPLICANT (returnable April 3, 2025)

AIRD & BERLIS LLP

Barristers and Solicitors Brookfield Place 181 Bay Street, Suite 1800 Toronto, ON M5J 2T9

Sanjeev P.R. Mitra (LSO # 37934U) Tel: (416) 865-3085 Email: <u>smitra@airdberlis.com</u>

Jeremy Nemers (LSO # 66410Q) Tel: (416) 865-7724 Email: jnemers@airdberlis.com

Cristian Delfino (LSO # 87202N) Tel: (416) 865-7748 Email: cdelfino@airdberlis.com

Lawyers for Royal Bank of Canada

63890191.2