

SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

COUNSEL SLIP

| COURT FILE NO.: | CV-23-00693494-00CL | DATE: July 13, 2023 | | |
|------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | REGISTRAR: <u>Julietta Costa-Singh</u> | | |
| | | NO. ON LIST:1 | | |
| TITLE OF PROCEED | ING: TD BANK V 1871 BERKELEY INC | | | |
| BEFORE JUSTICE: | STEELE | | | |
| | | | | |
| PARTICIPANT INFO | RMATION | | | |
| | | | | |

For Plaintiff, Applicant, Moving Party, Crown:

| Name of Person Appearing | Name of Party | Contact Info |
|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| Matilda Lici | Counsel for TD Bank | mlici@airdberlis.com |
| Miranda Spence | | mspence@airdberlis.com |
| | | |
| | | |

For Defendant, Respondent, Responding Party, Defence:

| Name of Person Appearing | Name of Party | Contact Info |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Jefferey Larry | Counsel for all the respondents | Jeff.larry@paliareroland.com |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

For Other, Self-Represented:

| Name of Person Appearing | Name of Party | Contact Info |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Wojtek Jaskiewicz | Counsel for Equitable Bank | wjaskiewicz@weirfoulds.com |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

ENDORSEMENT OF JUSTICE STEELE:

- 1. The case conference heard today, July 7, 2023, via Zoom, had been scheduled at the motion that was adjourned on consent in March, 2023. At that motion, the parties came to a consent adjournment with certain terms with which the debtor had to comply. It was agreed that the receiver would be appointed on the return, if the terms were not met.
- 2. One of the terms of the consent was the sale of the property by July 5, 2023, which has not yet occurred. However, the debtor filed evidence prior to today's commitment of a \$12 million loan commitment that the debtor has secured. The loan commitment is scheduled to close on July 28, 2023, and the debtor requested an adjournment until that time.
- 3. TD Bank asked that the receivership proceed. The amount owing to TD is almost \$11 million. TD has concerns about priority payables to CRA, among others. It is unclear to TD whether the commitment will be sufficient to cover any priority payables and repay TD.
- 4. I determined that the Order shall be made appointing the Receiver. However, it will not be effective until July 31, 2023, unless the priority payables have been addressed to TD's satisfaction and TD has been repaid in full on or before July 28, 2023.